arXiv:1703.05409v1 [math.CO] 15 Mar 2017

ON TREES WITH REAL ROOTED INDEPENDENCE POLYNOMIAL

FERENC BENCS

Abstract. The independence polynomial of a graph G is

$$I(G,x)=\sum_{k\geq 0} i_k(G)x^k,$$

where $i_k(G)$ denotes the number of independent sets of G of size k (note that $i_0(G)=1$). In this paper we show a new method to prove real-rootedness of the independence polynomials of certain families of trees.

In particular we will give a new proof of the real-rootedness of the independence polynomials of centipedes (Zhu's theorem), caterpillars (Wang and Zhu's theorem), and we will prove a conjecture of Galvin and Hilyard about the real-rootedness of the independence polynomial of the so-called Fibonacci trees.

1. Introduction

The independence polynomial of a graph G is

$$I(G,x)=\sum_{k\geq 0} i_k(G)x^k,$$

where $i_k(G)$ denotes the number of independent sets of G of size k (note that $i_0(G)=1$). In this paper we study the independence polynomials of trees. For trees, it is a well known conjecture that the sequence $(i_k(T))_{k\geq 0}$ is unimodal.

Recall that a sequence $(b_k)_{k=0}^n$ is unimodal ([7]), if there exists an index k, such that

$$b_0\leq b_1\leq\cdots\leq b_{k-1}\leq b_k\geq b_{k+1}\geq\cdots\geq b_n.$$

A stronger property for positive sequences is the so called log-concavity: for any $0<i<n$ we have $b_i^2\geq b_{i-1}b_{i+1}$. An even stronger property is the real-rootedness of the polynomial $p(x)=\sum_{i=0}^n b_i x^i$ (any complex zero of the polynomial is real). This prompted many mathematicians to study trees with real-rooted independence polynomials. In this paper we show a general method to construct such trees or prove real-rootedness.

In particular we will give a new proof for real-rootedness of the independence polynomials of certain families of trees, which includes centipedes (Zhu's theorem, see [12]), caterpillars (Wang and Zhu's theorem, see [9]), and we will prove a conjecture of Galvin and Hilyard about the real-rootedness of the independence polynomial of the Fibonacci trees (Conj. 6.1. of [3]).

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 05C31, Secondory: 05C69, 05C30.

Key words and phrases. independence polynomial, real rooted polynomial, tree, log-concave, stable-path tree.

The author was partially supported by the MTA Rényi Institute Lendület Limits of Structures Research Group.



(a) The centipede

(b) The caterpillar



(c) The first 5 Fibonacci trees

FIGURE 1. Some families of trees

Recall that the *n-centipede* W_n is a graph (Fig. 1a), such that we take a path on n vertices and we hang 1 pendant edge from each vertex of it. Similarly the *n-caterpillar* H_n is the graph (Fig. 1b) obtained by taking a path on n vertices and by hanging 2 pendant edges from each vertex of it. The *Fibonacci trees* were defined by Wagner [8] as follows (Fig. 1c): let $F_0 = K_1$ and $F_1 = K_2$ with roots $r_0 \in V(F_0)$ and $r_1 \in V(F_1)$. Then for $n \geq 2$ the nth Fibonacci tree F_n is obtained from the disjoint union of F_{n-1}, F_{n-2} and a new vertex, labeled by r_n and connecting r_n to the roots of F_{n-1} and F_{n-2}. Define r_n as the root of F_n.

1.1. **Methods and motivations.** To motivate our method we will use certain results from the theory of matching polynomials. Recall that the matching polynomial of a graph G is defined as:

$$\mu(G,x) = \sum_{k=0}(-1)^k m_k(G) x^{n-2k},$$

where $m_k(G)$ is the number of matchings with k edges (note that $m_0(G) = 1$). One of the best known theorems about matching polynomials is that for any finite graph G and $u \in V(G)$ there exists a rooted tree (T, r), such that

$$\frac{\mu(G-u,x)}{\mu(G,x)} = \frac{\mu(T-r,x)}{\mu(T,x)} \tag{1.1}$$

A well-known construction for T is the path-tree [4] (a.k.a. Godsil tree), which is the tree on paths of G starting from u, and the edges are the strict inclusions. (For an example see Figure 2.)

In this paper we will prove an "independence version" of this theorem through a quite similar construction. More precisely, we will show that there exists a rooted tree (T', r), such that

$$\frac{I(G-u,x)}{I(G,x)} = \frac{I(T'-r,x)}{I(T',x)}.$$



(a) A graph G with labeled vertices.

(b) Path tree of G from vertex 1. The labels of the vertices denote endpoints of paths.

FIGURE 2. A graph with its path tree.

We will call the constructed tree a stable-path tree. This construction already appeared in in the work of Scott and Sokal (see [6]) and variant of this construction in the work of Weitz (see [10]). We will see that the key property of a stable-path tree is that its independence polynomial is a product of independence polynomials of some induced subgraphs of G.

Chudnovsky and Seymour showed that the independence polynomial of any claw-free graph is real-rooted (see [2]). Since any induced subgraph of a claw-free graph is also claw-free, this enables us to conclude that any stable-path tree of a claw-free graph has real-rooted independence polynomial. In section 3 we will construct claw-free graphs such that their stable-path trees will be n-centipedes, n-caterpillars and Fibonacci trees. In the same section we will give further applications of this method.

1.2. **Notation.** We denote the vertex set and edge set of a graph G by $V(G)$ and $E(G)$, respectively. Let $N_G(u)$ denote the set of neighbours of the vertex u and $d(u)$ the degree of the vertex u. Let $N_G[u] = N_G(u) \cup \{u\}$ denote the closed neighbourhood of the vertex u. If it is clear from the context, then we will write $N(u)$ and $N[u]$ instead of $N_G(u)$ and $N_G[u]$. Let $G - v$ denote the graph obtained from G by deleting the vertex v. If $S \subseteq V(G)$, then $G[S]$ denotes the induced subgraph of G on the vertex set S, and $G - S$ denotes $G[V(G) - S]$.

1.3. **This paper is organized as follows:** in the next section we will define stable-path trees of graphs, and we will prove some properties of it. In the last section we will prove real-rootedness of independence polynomials of certain graphs.

2. TREE OF STABLE PATHS

In this section we will give two variants of the definition of the stable-path tree, where the first one is a special case of the latter one. For the applications it is enough to get familiar with the first definition. But first let us recall the following properties of the independence polynomial, which we will use intensively in the proofs. For proof see [5].

Lemma 2.1. *Let G be a graph with connected components $G_1, \dots, G_k$, and let $u \in V(G)$ be a fixed vertex. Then*

$$I(G,x) = I(G-u,x) + xI(G-N_G[u],x)$$
$$I(G,x) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} I(G_i,x)$$

Definition 2.2 (Tree of stable paths)**.** Let G be a graph, where we have a total ordering $\prec$ on $V(G)$ and let $u \in V(G)$ fixed. Then we define a tree $(T^{<}_{G,u}, \bar{u})$ as follows. Let us denote by $N(u) = \{u_1 \prec \cdots \prec u_d\}$, and let

$$G^i = G[V(G) \setminus \{u, u_1, v_2, \dots, u_{i-1}\}]$$
$$(T^i, r^i) = (T^{<}_{G^i,u_i}, \bar{u_i}),$$

where we take the induced ordering of the vertices on $V(G^i)$ for $1 \le i \le d$. Consider the disjoint unions of T^i with roots r^i and a new vertex with label $\bar{u}$, and add edges $(\bar{u}, r^i)$ for $1 \le i \le d$. In this way we gain a tree $T^{<}_{G,u}$ and let $\bar{u}$ be the root of this tree. See an example in Fig 3.



(a) A graph G with labeled vertices.



(b) The graph $T^{<}_{G,1}$. The labels of the vertices denote endpoints of stable-paths.

FIGURE 3. A graph with its stable-path tree. The ordering on the vertices of G is induced by its labeling

Theorem 2.3. *Let G be a graph, $u \in V(G)$. Then for $T = T^{<}_{G,u}$ we have that*

$$\frac{I(G-u,x)}{I(G,x)} = \frac{I(T-\overline{u},x)}{I(T,x)},$$

Proof. We will prove the statement by induction on the number of vertices of G. If G has exactly one vertex, then $T^{<}_{G,u}$ is constructed to be a graph with one vertex.

Let $N(u) = \{u_1 \prec \cdots \prec u_d\}$, and then let $G^i = G[V(G) \setminus \{u, u_1, v_2, \ldots, u_{i-1}\}]$ and $(T^i, r^i) = (T_{G^i,u_i}, \overline{u}_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$ as in the definition. Then

$$\frac{I(G,x)}{I(G-u,x)} = \frac{I(G-u,x) + xI(G-N[u],x)}{I(G-u,x)} = 1 + \frac{xI(G-N[u],x)}{I(G-u,x)} =$$
$$= 1 + x\frac{I(G-u-u_1,x)I(G-u-\{u_1,u_2\},x)\ldots I(G-u-\{u_1,\ldots,u_k\},x)}{I(G-u,x)I(G-u-u_1)\ldots I(G-u-\{u_1,\ldots,u_{k-1}\})} =$$
$$= 1 + x\frac{I(G^1-u_1,x)}{I(G^1,x)}\frac{I(G^2-u_2,x)}{I(G^2,x)}\cdots\frac{I(G^d-u_d,x)}{I(G^d,x)} =$$
$$= 1 + x\frac{I(T^1-r^1,x)}{I(T^1,x)}\frac{I(T^2-r^2,x)}{I(T^2,x)}\cdots\frac{I(T^d-r^d,x)}{I(T^d,x)} =$$
$$= \frac{I(T-r,x) + xI(T-N[r],x)}{I(T-r,x)} = \frac{I(T,x)}{I(T-r,x)}.$$

□

We would like to remark that in all applications it will be enough to use this definition, however, for the completeness we will give a a more general form.

The following construction already appeared in the work of Scott and Sokal (see [6]), where they called the this tree as pruned SAW-tree.

Definition 2.4 (Tree of σ-stable paths)**.** Let $\mathcal{P}_u$ be the set of paths from u in G, and let

$$A_{G,u} = \{(P,e) \in \mathcal{P}_u \times E(G) \mid P = (v_0,\ldots,v_k), v_k \in e\}.$$

A function $\sigma : A_{G,u} \to \mathbb{R}$ is called deep decision if it satisfies that whenever $(P,e),(P,f) \in A_{G,u}$ and $\sigma(P,e) = \sigma(P,f)$, then $e = f$. Then a path $P = (v_0,v_1,\ldots,v_k)$ from u is σ-stable, if whenever $(v_i,v_j) \in E(G)$ and $i+1 < j$, then $\sigma(P',(v_i,v_{i+1})) < \sigma(P',(v_i,v_j))$, where $P' = (v_0,\ldots,v_i)$ is a subpath. If the path $P = (u,v_1,\ldots,v_k)$ is stable with respect to σ, then $P' = (u,v_1,\ldots,v_{k-1})$ is also stable with respect to σ.

Let $T^{\sigma}_{G,u}$ be a tree, whose vertices are the σ-stable paths from u, and the edges correspond to the strict inclusion. In that tree the path (u) (with length 0) appears, which we will denote by $\overline{u}$.

To see the relation between the two definitions, let us assume, that G has a total ordering on its vertices, so we may assume, that $(V(G),\prec) = (\{1,\ldots,n\},<)$. Then for a $(P,e) \in A_{G,u}$, such that $P = (u,v_1,\ldots,v_k)$ and $e = (v_k,v_{k+1})$ let $\sigma(P,e) = v_{k+1}$. Then it is easy to check that $T^{\sigma}_{G,u} = T^{<}_{G,u}$. Indeed the second definition is a generalization of the first one.

For the completeness we will prove Theorem 2.3 also for the generalized σ-stable-path tree.

Theorem 2.5. *Let G be a graph, $u \in V(G)$ and let $\sigma : A_{G,u} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a deep decision. Then for $T = T^{\sigma}_{G,u}$ we have that*

$$\frac{I(G-u,x)}{I(G,x)} = \frac{I(T-\overline{u},x)}{I(T,x)},$$

Proof. We will prove the statement by induction on the number of vertices. If G has exactly one vertex, then $T^{\sigma}(G,u)$ is constructed to be a graph with one vertex.

Furthermore we may assume that G is connected, since if $G_1,\ldots,G_k$ are the connected components of G, where $u \in V(G_1)$, then by using the multiplicity of

the independence polynomial, we have:

$$\frac{I(G-u,x)}{I(G,x)} = \frac{I(G_1-u,x)I(G_2,x)\dots I(G_k,x)}{I(G_1,x)I(G_2,x)\dots I(G_k,x)} = \frac{I(G_1-u,x)}{I(G_1,x)}.$$

and by $A_{G,u} = A_{G_1,u}$ we have that $T^\sigma(G_1,u) = T^\sigma(G,u)$, which is the appropriate tree.

For the rest assume that G is connected. Then let $N(u) = \{u_1,\dots,u_d\}$ in such a way, such that $\sigma(\overline{u},(u,u_i)) < \sigma(\overline{u},(u,u_j))$, whenever $1 \le i < j \le d$. Then for any $1 \le i \le d$ and for any $(P,e) \in A_{G-\{u,u_1,\dots,u_{i-1}\},u_i}$ let σ_i be defined as follows (where $P = (u_i, v_1, \dots, v_k)$):

$$\sigma_i(P,e) = \sigma((u,u_i,v_1,\dots v_k),e).$$

Then

$$\frac{I(G,x)}{I(G-u,x)} = \frac{I(G-u,x)+xI(G-N[u],x)}{I(G-u,x)} = 1 + \frac{xI(G-N[u],x)}{I(G-u,x)} =$$
$$= 1 + x\frac{I(G-u-u_1,x)I(G-u-\{u_1,u_2\},x)\dots I(G-u-\{u_1,\dots,u_d\},x)}{I(G-u,x)I(G-u-u_1)\dots I(G-u-\{u_1,\dots,u_{d-1}\})} =$$
$$= 1 + x\frac{I(G-u-u_1,x)}{I(G-u,x)}\frac{I(G-u-\{u_1,u_2\},x)}{I(G-u-u_1)}\dots\frac{I(G-u-\{u_1,\dots,u_d\},x)}{I(G-u-\{u_1,\dots,u_{d-1}\})} =$$
$$= 1 + x\frac{I(T^{\sigma_1}_{G-u,u_1}-\overline{u_1},x)}{I(T^{\sigma_1}_{G-u,u_1},x)}\frac{I(T^{\sigma_2}_{G-u-u_1,u_2}-\overline{u_2},x)}{I(T^{\sigma_2}_{G-u-u_1,u_2},x)}\dots\frac{I(T^{\sigma_d}_{G-u-\{u_1\dots u_{d-1}\},u_d}-\overline{u_d},x)}{I(T^{\sigma_d}_{G-u-\{u_1\dots u_{d-1}\},d_k},x)} =$$
$$= \frac{I(T,x)}{I(T-r,x)},$$

where T is a tree that is obtained from a star with k leaves, whose root is r, and the ith leaf is glued to the root of $T^{\sigma_i}_{G-u-\{u_1\dots u_{i-1}\},u_i}$. On the other hand this T is isomorphic to $T^\sigma_{G,u}$, since any σ-stable path $P = (u,u_i,v_1,\dots,v_k)$ (specially if $1 \le j < i$, then $u_j \notin \{v_1,\dots,v_k\}$) the path $P' = (u_i,v_1,\dots,v_k)$ is σ_i-stable. And for any σ_i-stable path $P' = (u_i,v_1,\dots,v_k)$ is a $P = (u,u_i,v_1,\dots,v_k)$ σ-stable path. So

$$\frac{I(T-r,x)}{I(T,x)} = \frac{I(T^\sigma_{G,u}-\overline{u},x)}{I(T^\sigma_{G,u},x)}$$

□

We would like to remark that Weitz's construction of the self-avoiding path tree is a special case of the previously defined stable-path tree of a deep decision. Let $\phi : E(G) \to \{1,\dots,m\}$ bijection, where $m = |E(G)|$. Then for a $(P,e) \in A_{G,u}$ let $\sigma(P,e) = \phi(e)$. Then $T^\sigma_{G,u}$ is the Weitz-tree.

Remark 2.6. Observe that if we have a deep decision for a connected graph, then we can perform the DFS-algorithm with respect to σ, in the following way. Whenever we arrive into the vertex v along the path P and there is an unvisited neighbor of v, then we will move to that unvisited vertex w for which $\sigma(P,(v,w))$ is the smallest.

Formally, let us assume, that there is a given connected graph G, $u \in V(G)$ and a σ deep decision from u. Then one can construct a spanning tree $F_{G,u,\sigma}$ (call as σ-DFS tree of G) as follows. Let $G_1,\dots,G_k$ be a the connected components of $G-u$, $u_i = \operatorname{argmin}_{v\in V(G_i)\cap N_G(u)}(\sigma(u,(u,v)))$ for $1 \le i \le k$ and the functions $\sigma_i : A_{G_i,u_i} \to \mathbb{R}$ are

$$\sigma_i((u_i,v_1,\dots,v_k),e) = \sigma((u,u_i,v_1,\dots,v_k),e).$$

Then we gain $F_{G,u,\sigma}$ as we take the disjoint union of F_{G_i,u_i,σ_i} for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and we connect a new vertex called u with u_i for $1 \leq i \leq k$.

By induction we can prove the following properties of a stable-path tree.

Proposition 2.7. *Let G be a connected graph, $u \in V(G)$, σ a deep decision, and let F be a σ-DFS tree. Denote by $\overline{F}$ the set of paths from u in F (they are σ-stable paths). Then*

(1) *there exists a sequence $G_1, \ldots, G_k$ of induced subgraphs of G, such that*

$$I(T^{\sigma}_{G,u}, x) = I(G, x)I(G_1, x) \ldots I(G_k, x),$$

(2) *and*

$$I(G, x) = \frac{I(T^{\sigma}_{G,u})}{I(T^{\sigma}_{G,u} - \overline{F}, x)}.$$

Proof. We will prove the first part by induction on the number of vertices of G. The proof of the second part goes similarly. From the proof of the previous theorem (and with its notations) we know that

$$I(T^{\sigma}_{G,u}, x) = \frac{I(G, x)}{I(G - u, x)} I(T^{\sigma}_{G,u} - \overline{u}, x) =$$

$$= \frac{I(G, x)}{I(G - u, x)} I(T^{\sigma_1}_{G-u,u_1}, x) I(T^{\sigma_2}_{G-\{u,u_1\},u_2}, x) \ldots I(T^{\sigma_d}_{G-\{u,u_1,\ldots u_{d-1}\},u_d}, x) =$$

$$= \frac{I(G, x)}{I(G - u, x)} \prod_{i=1}^{d} \prod_{j=0}^{l_i} I(G^i_j, x),$$

where G^i_0 is the connected component of $G - \{u, u_1, \ldots, u_{i-1}\}$, which contains u_i; and each G^i_j is an induced subgraph of G^i_0. So each G^i_j is an induced subgraph of G. Let $\{H_1, \ldots, H_t\}$ the set of connected components of $G - u$, and

$$I = \{ \min_{u_i \in V(H_j)} (i) \mid 1 \leq j \leq t \}.$$

By definition of I we have that the set $\{G^i_0 \mid i \in I\}$ is the set of connected components of $G - u$. This implies that the product $\prod_{i \in I} I(G^i_0, x) = I(G - u, x)$, therefore

$$I(T^{\sigma}_{G,u}, x) = I(G, x) \prod_{i \in I'} I(G^i_0, x) \prod_{i=1}^{d} \prod_{j=1}^{l_i} I(G^i_j, x), \tag{2.1}$$

where $I' = \{1, \ldots, d\} \setminus I$. □

Remark 2.8. Sometimes, it is useful to follow the induction to determine explicitly the multiplicites of the subgraphs occuring in the formula (2.1).

3. Applications of stable-path tree

In this section we will present various applications of the following corollary of Proposition 2.7:

Corollary 3.1. *Let G be a graph, $v \in V(G)$, and let σ be a deep decision. If G is a claw-free graph, then $I(T^{\sigma}_{G,u}, x)$ is real-rooted. Moreover $I(G, x)$ divides $I(T^{\sigma}_{G,u}, x)$.*

Proof. Assume that G is a claw-free graph. Then by Proposition 2.7 we have a sequence of induced subgraphs $G_1, \dots, G_k$ of G, such that

$$I(T^{\sigma}_{G,u}) = I(G,x)\prod_{i=1}^{k} I(G_i,x).$$

Since each G_i is an induced subgraph of a claw-free graph, therefore it is also claw-free. Then by the result of Chudnovsky and Seymour, Thm. 1.1. of [2], we have that each polynomial $I(G_i,x)$ and the polynomial $I(G,x)$ are real-rooted, so their product is also real rooted. □

In this section will show some applications of this corollary. In all applications, of Corollary 3.1 the vertices of G will be labelled by integers. This labeling will induce a total order on the vertices in the most natural way, the order of two vertices will be the order of their labels.

3.1. **Trees with real-rooted independence polynomial.** In this subsection we will show that some families of trees have real-rooted independence polynomials.

Definition 3.2. Let us recall that, the *n-centipede* W_n is a graph such that we take a path on n vertices and we hang 1 pendant edge from each vertex of it.

The *n-caterpillar* H_n is a graph such that we take a path on n vertices and we hang 2 pendant edges from each vertex of it.

The *Fibonacci tree* $F_0 = K_1$ and $F_1 = K_2$ with roots $r_0 \in V(F_0)$ and $r_1 \in V(F_1)$. Then for $n \geq 2$ the nth Fibonacci tree F_n is obtained from the disjoint union of F_{n-1}, F_{n-2} and a new vertex, labeled as r_n, and connecting r_n to the roots of F_{n-1} and F_{n-2}. Define r_n as the root of F_n.

The proof of the real-rootedness of the independence polynomial of W_n was in [12], then a unified proof for W_n and H_n appeared in [9]. The statement for F_n was verified in [3] for $n \leq 22$, and conjectured for arbitrary n. Our proofs will follow the following strategy: for each mentioned T tree we will define a claw-free graph $\widetilde{G}$ with integer labels, such that the stable-path tree of $\widetilde{G}$ from one of its vertex will be isomorphic to T.

Proposition 3.3. *For any n, the independence polynomial of W_n is real-rooted, hence log-concave and unimodal.*

Proof. Let $\widetilde{W_n}$ be a graph (Fig. 4), such that we take a path on $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and we attach a triangle to every $(2k+1)$th edge of the path. If n is odd, then we attach a pendant edge to n. Also label all the new vertices by numbers bigger than n.



(a) The graph $\widetilde{W_n}$, when n is even (b) The graph $\widetilde{W_n}$, when n is odd

FIGURE 4. The graph family $\widetilde{W_n}$

These graphs are claw-free, and

$$T^{<}_{\widetilde{W_n},1} \cong W_n.$$

Therefore by Corollary 3.1 we have the desired statement. □

Proposition 3.4. *For any n, the independence polynomial of H_n are real-rooted, hence log-concave and unimodal.*

Proof. Let $\widetilde{H}_n$ be a graph (Fig. 5), such that we take a path on $\{0, \ldots, n+1\}$ and we attach a triangle to each edge, which is not the first or the last. Also label all the new vertices with numbers bigger than n.



FIGURE 5. The graph $\widetilde{H}_n$

These graphs are claw-free, and

$$T^{<}_{\widetilde{H}_n,0} \cong H_n.$$

Therefore by Corollary 3.1 we have the desired statement. □

Proposition 3.5. *For any n, the independence polynomial of F_n are real-rooted, hence log-concave and unimodal.*

Proof. Let $\widetilde{F}_n$ be a graph (Fig. 6), such that we take the set $\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$ and we connect i and j if $0 < |i-j| \leq 2$.



FIGURE 6. The graph $\widetilde{F}_n$

These graphs are claw-free, and

$$T^{<}_{\widetilde{F}_n,0} \cong F_n.$$

Therefore by Corollary 3.1 we have the desired statement. □

Remark 3.6. If someone carefully examine the formula (2.1), then one might get the following identities:

$$I(W_n, x) = I(\widetilde{W}_n)(1+x)^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor},$$
$$I(H_n, x) = I(\widetilde{H}_n)(1+x)^{n-2},$$
$$I(F_n, x) = \prod_{k=0}^{n} I(\widetilde{F}_k, x)^{f_{n-k}},$$

where $f_0 = 1$, $f_1 = 0$ and $f_n = f_{n-1} + f_{n-2}$ for $n \geq 1$.

3.2. **Some real-rooted graph families.** In this subsection we show another approach to verify real-rootedness of independence polynomials of some graphs. The idea is that for a graph G we construct a stable-path tree T, which is real-rooted. Then by Corollary 3.1 we know that $I(G,x)$ divides $I(T,x)$, so it means that $I(G,x)$ is also real-rooted.

Definition 3.7. Let us define the following graph families.

The *nth apple graph* A_n is a graph (Fig. 7a), such that we take a path on $\{1,\dots,n\}$, and we add the edge $(2,n)$.

The *n-sunlet* graph N_n is a graph (Fig. 7b), such that we take a cycle on $\{1,\dots,n\}$, and we attach a new vertex to each vertex of the cycle. Also label all the new vertices with numbers bigger than n.

Let M_n be a graph (Fig. 8), such that we take a path on $\{1,\dots,n\}$, and we attach 2 triangles to any $2k+1$th edge of the path. If n is odd, then we attach 2 pendant edges to n. For the new vertices choose different numbers greater than n as labels.



(a) The apple graph (A_n) (b) The sunlet graph (N_n)

FIGURE 7. Some graph families



(a) The graph M_n, when n is even (b) The graph M_n, when n is odd

FIGURE 8. The graph family M_n

A proof for real-rootedness of the independence polynomial of M_n and N_n was given in [9].

Proposition 3.8. *For any n, the independence polynomial of M_n is real-rooted, hence log-concave and unimodal.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.4 we have that H_n has real-rooted independence polynomial. However we can see that

$$T^{<}_{M_n,1} \cong H_n.$$

By Corollary 3.1 we know that $I(M_n,x)$ divides $I(H_n,x)$, which implies, that $I(M_n,x)$ is real-rooted polynomial. □

Proposition 3.9. *For any n, the independence polynomial of N_n is real-rooted, hence log-concave and unimodal.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.3 we have that W_n has real-rooted independence polynomial. However we can see that

$$T^{<}_{N_n,1} \cong W_{2n-1}.$$

By Corollary 3.1 we know that $I(N_n, x)$ divides $I(W_{2n-1}, x)$, which implies, that $I(N_n, x)$ is real-rooted polynomial. □

Proposition 3.10. *For any $n \geq 4$, the independence polynomial of A_n is real-rooted, hence log-concave and unimodal.*

Proof. Let $\widetilde{A}_n$ be a graph (Fig. 9), such that we take a path on $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, and add the edge $(2, 4)$.



FIGURE 9. The graph $\widetilde{A}_n$

Since $\widetilde{A}_n$ is a claw-free graph, so for any $n \geq 4$ we have that $T_{\widetilde{A}_n,1}$ has a real-rooted independence polynomial. However we can see that

$$T^{<}_{\widetilde{A}_n,1} \cong T^{<}_{A_n,1},$$

which means that $I(T^{<}_{A_n,1}, x)$ is real-rooted. By Corollary 3.1 we know that $I(A_n, x)$ divides $I(T^{<}_{A_n,1}, x)$, which implies, that $I(A_n, x)$ is real-rooted polynomial. □

4. Final remarks

We would like to remark, that this method can be also capable of proving the real-rootedness of the independence polynomial of the ladder graph (Thm. 5.1. of [11]), the polyphenyl ortho-chain ($\bar{O}_n$ of [1]), k-ary analogue of the Fibonacci tree (Remark of [8]).

One might ask that it is true that any tree with real-rooted independence polynomial is a stable path tree of a non-tree graph G. The answer is no, as the following example shows:

Let T be a tree on 9 vertices as on the Figure 10 and assume that there exists a graph G, a deep decision σ and a vertex $u \in V(G)$, such that $T = T^{\sigma}_{G,u}$. Then



FIGURE 10. A tree T with real-rooted independence polynomial, which is not a stable-path tree of any non-tree graph

the independence polynomial of T is

$$I(T,x) = (1+3x+x^2)(1+5x+6x^2+x^3) + x(1+2x)^3 = (1+x)(1+8x+20x^2+16x^3+x^4),$$

where the factors are real-rooted and irreducible polynomials in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$. By Proposition 2.7 we have that $I(G,x)$ divides $I(T,x)$, and clearly G cannot be K_1 or the empty graph, therefore $I(G,x)$ should be $1+8x+20x^2+16x^3+x^4$. However it can be proved, that there is no such a graph G.

References

[1] S. Alikhani and F. Jafari. On the unimodality of independence polynomial of certain classes of graphs. *Transactions on Combinatorics*, 2(3):33–41, 2013.

[2] M. Chudnovsky and P. Seymour. The roots of the independence polynomial of a clawfree graph. *J. Comb. Theory Ser. B*, 97(3):350–357, 2007.

[3] D. Galvin and J. Hilyard. The independent set sequence of some families of trees, *arXiv preprint* arxiv:1701.02204. 2017.

[4] C. D. Godsil. *Algebraic combinatorics*. Taylor & Francis, 1993.

[5] V. E. Levit and E. Mandrescu. The independence polynomial of a graph-a survey. *Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Algebraic Informatics*, V:233–254, 2005.

[6] A. Scott and A. Sokal. The repulsive lattice gas, the independent-set polynomial, and the Lovász Local Lemma. 2005.

[7] R. P. Stanley. Log-concave and unimodal sequences in algebra, combinatorics, and geometry, 1989.

[8] S. G. Wagner. The Fibonacci number of Fibonacci trees and a related family of polynomial recurrence systems. *Fibonacci Quarterly*, 45(3):247–253, 2007.

[9] Y. Wang and B. X. Zhu. On the unimodality of independence polynomials of some graphs. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 32(1):10–20, 2011.

[10] D. Weitz. Counting independent sets up to the tree threshold. In *Proceedings of the Thirty-eighth Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, STOC '06, pages 140–149. ACM, 2006.

[11] B. X. Zhu and Q. Lu. Unimodality of the independence polynomials of some composite graphs. pages 1–11, 2015.

[12] Z. F. Zhu. The unimodality of independence polynomials of some graphs. *Australasian Journal of Combinatorics*, 38:27–33, 2007.

Central European University, Department of Mathematics, H-1051 Budapest, Zrinyi u. 14, Third Floor, Hungary & Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics, H-1053 Budapest, Reáltanoda u. 13-15.

E-mail address: ferenc.bencs@gmail.com